arXiv:1410.7036v1 [math.NT] 26 Oct 2014

Yet another representation for the sum of reciprocals of the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function*

Yu. V. Matiyasevich

October 28, 2014

The famous Riemann Hypothesis is a statement about positions of the zeroes of Riemann's zeta function, which can be defined for $\Re(s) > 1$ by the Dirichlet series

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}. \tag{1}$$

Being analytic, this function is also uniquely defined by its expansion into Laurent series

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n \frac{(s-1)^n}{n!}. \tag{2}$$

Thus the Riemann Hypothesis is a statement about the *infinite* sequence of real numbers $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots$, known as *Stieltjes constants* ($\gamma_0 = \gamma = .577215\ldots$ is the *Euler constant*).

It is well-known that in order to prove the Riemann Hypothesis it would be sufficient to establish the validity of a suitable infinite sequence of polynomial inequalities

$$P_1(\gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_{m_1}) > 0, \ \ldots, \ P_n(\gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_{m_n}) > 0, \ \ldots, \tag{3}$$

each of which contains only *finitely* many Stieltjes constants (and, possibly, some other classical constants), hence allowing numerical verification.

There are many ways to select polynomials P_n giving such a reformulation of the Riemann Hypothesis. In one of fairly well-known ways described in [5] (cf. [2]),

$$P_n(\gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1}) = \sum_{\rho} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\rho}\right)^n\right), \tag{4}$$

*The author is very grateful to Peter Zvengrowski (University of Calgary) for his help with the English.

where the the summation is taken over all non-trivial zeroes of the zeta function. Earlier, the author considered in [6] another choice of polynomials P_n for which

$$P_n(\gamma_0,\dots,\gamma_{n^2-1}) = \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{j_n=1}^{\infty} G_n(\rho_{j_1},\dots,\rho_{j_n}), \tag{5}$$

where

$$G_n(z_1,\dots,z_n) = \prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{z_j(1-z_j)}\prod_{k=j+1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{z_j(1-z_j)}-\frac{1}{z_k(1-z_k)}\right)^2, \tag{6}$$

and $\rho_1,\rho_2,\dots$ is the sequence of zeta zeroes with positive imaginary parts.

So far nobody was able to prove all the required inequalities (3) for any choice of polynomials P_n. The difficulty might be explained by the way in which the Stieltjes constants are defined. The original definition via formal differentiation of (1) gives slowly convergent series:

$$\gamma_m = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\ln^m(n)}{n}-\int_n^{n+1}\frac{\ln^m(t)}{t}dt\right). \tag{7}$$

Substitution of (7) into $P_n(\gamma_0,\dots,\gamma_{m_n})$ results in a multisum, and it is difficult to determine its sign. However, besides (7), different authors found a number of other representations for the Stieltjes constants in the form of infinite sum or integral (cf., for example [3] and references there). The author finds it plausible that for certain choice of polynomials P_n and representations for $\gamma_0,\ \gamma_1,\dots$, one could find for $P_n(\gamma_0,\dots,\gamma_n)$ representations in the form of (multi)sums with positive summands or integrals with positive integrands.

The author was able to obtain such representations for $n=0$ in (4) and in (5). In both cases

$$2P_0(\gamma_0) = 2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\rho_j}+\frac{1}{1-\rho_j}\right) = 2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\rho_j(1-\rho_j)} = \\ = \gamma-\ln(\pi)-2\ln(2)+2 = 0.0461914\dots \tag{8}$$

From [6]

$$\gamma-\ln(4\pi)+2 = \int_1^{\infty}\frac{1-\{q\}^2}{4q^2(q+1)^2}\,dq, \tag{9}$$

where $\{q\}$ is the fractional part of q, so the positivity of the integrand is evident. From [7]

$$\gamma-\ln(4\pi)+2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\psi(n)-\int_{n-1/2}^{n+1/2}\psi(q)\,dq\right), \tag{10}$$

where $\psi(q)=\Gamma'(q)/\Gamma(q)$ is the logarithmic derivative of the gamma-function, called the digamma function. The integrand in (10) is positive because $\psi''''(q) < 0$ for $q > 1/2$.

The aim of the present note is to give yet another representation for (8) which makes evident its positivity, namely, the equality (19).

At first sight (8) contains three numbers of different nature – γ, $\ln(\pi)$, and $\ln(2)$, and it is not clear what is the "reason" for the positivity of $P_0(\gamma_0)$. In order to get a desired representation with positive summands or integrands one needs to find representations for these constants that would look similar. The new representation is based on duality, indicated in [9], between γ and $\ln(\pi)$:

$$\gamma=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n}-\int_n^{n+1}\frac{1}{t}dt\right),\qquad \ln\left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}-\int_n^{n+1}\frac{1}{t}dt\right) \tag{11}$$

(the left equality in (11) is just the case $m=0$ of (7)).

In [10] the two equalities from (11) were transformed respectively into

$$\gamma=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{N_1\left(\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor\right)+N_0\left(\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor\right)}{n},\quad \ln\left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{N_1\left(\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor\right)-N_0\left(\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor\right)}{n}, \tag{12}$$

where $N_0(m)$ and $N_1(m)$ denote the number of zeroes and units the binary expansion of m. Clearly, $N_1\left(\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor\right)+N_0\left(\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor\right)=\lfloor\log_2(n)\rfloor$, so the left hand side in (12) is just another transcription of the so-called *Vacca series* ([11], cf. also [4]). Pairwise grouping of the summands has given dual equalities

$$\gamma=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{N_1(n)+N_0(n)}{2n(2n+1)},\qquad \ln\left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{N_1(n)-N_0(n)}{2n(2n+1)}. \tag{13}$$

An infinite series of representations for γ was then constructed in [10] by accelerating the convergence of the left-hand side equation from (13); a particular case, the equality

$$\gamma=\frac{1}{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{N_1(n)+N_0(n)}{2n(2n+1)(2n+2)}, \tag{14}$$

was established earlier in [1] by a different method. In a similar way the right-hand side of (13) can be transformed into a representation that is dual to (14):

$$\ln\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{N_1(n)-N_0(n)}{2n(2n+1)(2n+2)}, \tag{15}$$

which is essentially the case $B=2$ of Corollary 19 from [8].

Summing up (14) and (15), we get the equality

$$\gamma-\ln(\pi)+\ln(2)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{2N_1(n)}{2n(2n+1)(2n+2)}, \tag{16}$$

which has all positive summands in the right hand side..

The value of (16) differs from the desired value of (8) by $3\ln(2)-2$, so we need to find a suitable expression for $\ln(2)$. An easy calculation give the equality

$$\frac{3}{4}-\ln(2)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2n(2n+1)(2n+2)}, \tag{17}$$

and respectively

$$\gamma-\ln(\pi)-2\ln(2)+\frac{9}{4}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{2N_1(n)+3}{2n(2n+1)(2n+2)}. \tag{18}$$

Dropping the first two summands in the right-hand side we get the desired equality

$$2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\rho_j}+\frac{1}{1-\rho_j}\right)=\gamma-\ln(4\pi)+2=\sum_{n=3}^{\infty}\frac{2N_1(n)+3}{2n(2n+1)(2n+2)}. \tag{19}$$

It remains intriguing whether one could get similar representations for $P_2(\gamma_0,\ldots,\gamma_{m_2})$, $P_3(\gamma_0,\ldots,\gamma_{m_3})$, ... in the form of (multi)sums with positive summands for P_n defined by (4), (5), or in any other way implying the validity of the Riemann Hypothesis.

References

[1] A. W. Addison, A series representation for Euler's constant, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 74 (1967), 823–824.

[2] E. Bombieri, J. C. Lagarias, Complements to Li's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis, *J. Number Theory* 77 (1999), 274–287.

[3] M. W. Coffey, Addison-type series representation for the Stieltjes constants, `http://arxiv.org/abs/0912.2391`, (2009).

[4] G. H. Hardy, Note on Dr. Vacca's Series for gamma, *Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 43 (1912), 215–216.

[5] X.-J. Li, The positivity of a sequence of numbers and the Riemann hypothesis, *J. Number Theory* 65 (1997), 325–333.

[6] Yu. V. Matiyasevich, An analytic representation for the sum of reciprocals of the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, 163 (1985), 211–213 (translated from Ю. В. Матиясевич, Одно аналитическое представление для суммы величин, обратных к нетривиальным нулям дзета-функции Римана, *Труды МИАН*, 163 (1984), 181–182, `http://www.mathnet.ru/links/c9ca7c722c53bbebe971fa4d79daf173/tm2325.pdf`).

[7] Yu. V. Matiyasevich, A relationship between certain sums over trivial and nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function, *Mathematical Notes*, 45:(1–2) (1989), 131–135, doi: 10.1007/BF01158058 (translated from Ю. В. Матиясевич, Связи между некоторыми суммами по тривиальным и нетривиальным нулям дзета-функции Римана, *Математические заметки* 45:2 (1989), 65–70).

[8] K. H. Pilehrood, T. H. Pilehrood, Vacca-Type series for values of the generalized Euler constant function and its derivative. *Journal of Integer Sequences*, Vol. 13 (2010), article 10.7.3; `https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/journals/JIS/VOL13/Pilehrood/pilehrood2.pdf`

[9] J. Sondow, Double integrals for Euler's constant and $\ln(\frac{4}{\pi})$ and an analog of Hadjicostas's formula, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 112 (2005), 61–65.

[10] J. Sondow, New Vacca-type rational series for Euler's constant γ and its "alternating" analog $\ln \frac{4}{\pi}$. *Additive number theory*, Springer, New York, 2010, 331–340; `http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0508042`.

[11] G. Vacca, A new series for the Eulerian constant $\gamma = 0.577...$, *Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 41 (1909–1910), 363–366.